NAFSGL Working Group Meeting Minutes

Thursday, September 22, 2016 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM EST

Attendees

- MC&FP
 - Paulette Freese, Mike Curtis
- Army HQDA
 - o Eric Alberts
- Army IMCOM G-9
 - o Bryan Hartsell
- Air Force Services Activity
 - o Mark Montgomery, Tom Marsh, Peter Nation, Marcus Whitehead
- USMC MCCS
 - o Pat Craddock, Courtney Pulis
- DFAS Indianapolis
 - o La Zaleus Leach
- DFAS Texarkana
 - o Lena Anderson
- Navy CNIC
 - o Daryl Davis, Nancy Stephens, Debbie Phillips, Jennifer Wilkinson
- Grant Thornton (GT)
 - Jeremy Blain, Ariane Whittemore, Dave Arnold, Ashley Beveridge, Mike Casias, Michael May

Welcome and Introductions – Ms. Paulette Freese, MWR & Resale Policy

- Ms. Freese, standing in for Justin Hall, opened up the working group meeting by thanking
 everyone for their good work on end-of-year funding requests, noting that NAF/MWR programs
 have fared reasonably well in getting resources compared to other major programs. She also
 noted that:
 - The Services have had to provide assurance in requesting funding, in this cycle, that the resources receiving MIPRs can actually be procured by end of this FY
 - There have been 325 applications for support of unfunded requirements for MWR alone, and as there have been a significant number of late requests, MC&FP plans to put the data call out earlier in next year's cycle, i.e. in June 2017
 - A lot of good MWR initiatives are getting funding, with emphasis on Fitness and Libraries
 - Going forward in FY17, MC&FP asks that the Services work any good MWR/NAF working group developed-initiatives into regular budgets, instead of including in end-of-year unfunded requests
 - Ms. Freese also noted she had received word that Navy stopped accepting MIPRs from OSD as of late last week, and Mr. Davis stated he would follow up on this internally within CNIC
- Ms. Freese cited the just completed GAO Gambling Audit response effort as a good example of the importance of moving to the NAFSGL and continuing the build out on a consistent,

1

authoritative Data Repository. This new approach provides a promising solution to data retrieval and presentation needs in support of future short notice queries on program status

Action Items from Previous Meeting – Mr. Mike Curtis, MWR & Resale Policy

Mr. Curtis noted that there were no outstanding action items from the August meeting minutes.
 No specific follow on issues were identified.

Action Items Still Open - Mr. Mike Curtis, MWR & Resale Policy

N/A

General OSD Update - Mr. Mike Curtis, MWR & Resale Policy

- <u>Tableau Serve Installation Update</u>. Mr. Curtis updated the group on MC&FP progress in securing Tableau Server software licenses, noting that the supporting OSD procurement office has received a technically acceptable bid on providing the Tableau Server licenses.
- NAFSGL Implementation and Waiver Requests. Mr. Curtis reported that waiver requests have been received from the Navy and Air Force, and notified USMC that MC&FP does not think a Marine Corps waiver request is really needed, as the Service is essentially compliant.
 - It was noted that it would be cleaner and would avoid confusion if the Marine Corps waiver request does not go forward – will confirm this with Ms. Beward from ASN M&RA
 - Mr. Hartsell reported that Army's waiver request is in final internal staffing, and IMCOM
 is working to quickly determine final routing/approval authority before forwarding to
 MC&FP
 - o Mr. Curtis further stated that once all requests are received, MC&FP will endorse and formally forward the package to OSD (C) and DFAS for review and final approval
- <u>FY17 Meeting Dates (proposed)</u>. Mr. Curtis briefly discussed the tentative monthly meeting schedule, noting that Thursdays generally appear to work well for everyone. He will continue to send out calendar invites out about one month prior to each meeting/call, and asked that the Services notify him in the interim of any known conflicts.

Updates to the NAFSGL (NAFSGL Version 2.0) – Mr. Curtis, MWR & Resale Policy

- Mr. Curtis briefed the group that MC&FP is moving to firm up the updated version of the NAFSGL. The work to gain final feedback and concurrence is still at the Action Office level, but Mr. Curtis will soon be putting together a formal coordination memo/package to send out to the Service for official input. Mr. Curtis then reinforced Ms. Freese's comment that the GAO Gambling Audit exercise provided a good case study on the importance of having the NAFSGL and supporting Data Repository.
- Mr. Curtis then shifted discussion to the Installation List, noting that MC&FP had changed terminology from "host and tenant" to "supporting and supported" entities, as the former terminology is used on all installations, whether Joint or not. He then asked for any additional Service feedback on the list.
 - Ms. Pulis (MCCS) discussed changing MCCS from being a tenant of Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall, to being "Supported by MCB Quantico," as that's is where their program office physically resides. Mr. Blain recommended having "MCCS Headquarters" on the list as a stand-alone virtual entity, dropping the relationship to MCB Quantico as there is really no direct connection there in terms of NAF accounting/reporting. Ms.

- Pulis tentatively agreed with this approach. Ms. Pulis followed up with noting that there is an issue with MCB Camp Pendleton and MCAS Camp Pendleton; these two entities really shouldn't be separated in terms of NAF accounting. Ms. Pulis would provide the final MCCS recommended changes in an email to MC&FP.
- Ms. Stephens mentioned potential changes she will discuss with Mr. Curtis off line. She noted that for Joint Base Bolling – Anacostia, Navy should be the "supporting" entity and Air Force should be the "supported" one. She cited a similar issue with Joint Base Pearl Harbor – Hickam.
- o Mr. Curtis closed out the Installation List discussion by stating that he will work these corrections off line with the respective Services, and asked that any other similar issues be e-mailed to him. He will then address these, and send out an updated listing.
- Wrap Up. Mr. Curtis concluded the meeting, asking if the Services had any final comments or issues to address.
 - Mr. Davis mentioned that he would follow up internally on Ms. Freese's comment that Navy had stopped accepting MIPRs from OSD, and again appreciated the heads up from MC&FP on this issue.
 - Mr. Curtis closed the meeting by thanking everyone for their participation, and reminding participants that the next Working Group session is planned for October 20, 2016.

Action Items Summary – Current Meeting

- Army submission of NAFSGL Implementation Waiver Request
- MC&FP to work with the individual Services on any outstanding issues regarding the Installations List